

NEW YORK CITY.

THE COURTS.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT.

Frement Against the Kansas Pacific Railroad—Removal of a Cause from the State Court.
John C. Fremont vs. The Kansas Pacific Railroad. This action was originally brought in the Supreme Court of this State. The plaintiff being the resident of one State and the defendants residing in another, and the amount in controversy exceeding the sum of \$500, the plaintiff petitioned to remove the trial from the State to the federal court. An order to show cause why the petition should not be granted was made by the State judge having jurisdiction of the case, and the petition being made, an order was granted transferring the cause to the United States Circuit Court. The papers in the case were accordingly filed yesterday in the office of the clerk of this court.

UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S COURT.

Discharged on His Own Recognizance.

The United States vs. Samuel Goodman.—Defendant was arrested about seven weeks ago on a charge of embezzling tobacco, and has been confined ever since in the Ludlow street jail. He was charged yesterday on his own recognizance to appear before the Grand Jury.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

Special Notice.

On and after Monday next, the 11th inst., through-out the month, the Chambers chamber, being unusually large, will be called on at eleven A. M. instead of twelve M., without reference to the hour for which motions may have been noticed. No cases will be set down for any day after the present week.

CHARLES E. LOEW, Clerk.

SUPREME COURT—GENERAL TERM.

What Constitutes a Legal General Term Decision?

Before Judges McCune, Monell and Fithian.
Parrott vs. The Knickerbocker Ice Company.—This was a motion for reargument made by plaintiff. Plaintiff obtained a judgment from which defendant appealed and argued it before a full bench. Two of the judges gave a judgment for reversal, and the third judge concurred that he was not consulted by his associates in the matter. The motion is now made on that certificate. It was argued that three judges in general term must all take part in the decision of a case, and that the only case decided by the Court of Appeals, while it maintains the decision of the majority of the court, does not on any technical ground declare that three judges to consult together before rendering a judgment, and that without record evidence the Court of Appeals will not suppose that the judges have failed in their duty. Decision reserved. Mr. De Costa for plaintiff; appellant, and Mr. Eldridge for defendant respondent.

A Squabble About Connected Fees.

James M. Smith vs. Jacob Dunchall.—This was an action in which Mr. James M. Smith brought suit against a butcher for his counsel fees in obtaining injunctions against the Board of Health. Mr. Smith recovered a judgment against Dunchall, and the appeal is now taken on the ground that the contract for employment was made by Dunchall's wife, and not by Dunchall himself, and that the contract was not binding on Dunchall. The court is now on the merits of the case, and the decision is reserved.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Sent on Preliminary Notice—Accommodation Paper.

Before Judge Friedman.

William B. Hollister vs. Louis Hallen.—This was an action founded on a promissory note made by defendant to the order of one Joseph Manning, Jr., for \$600. The defense was that the plaintiff had taken the same after maturity, that it was made for the same amount of money, and that a check for the same amount was given to the plaintiff by the said Manning. The court is now on the merits of the case, and the decision is reserved.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Decisions Rendered.

By Judge Jones.

Morris Mahler vs. David T. Perle.—Judgment for plaintiff on demurrer, with costs.

Ambrose Shon et al. vs. Henry S. Henry.—Judgment for plaintiff on demurrer, with costs.

James Smith et al. vs. Thomas Goodspeed.—Judgment for defendant, with costs.

Joseph B. Smith et al. vs. Charles W. Blacker et al.—Motion allowing plaintiff to amend summons and complaint granted on payment of ten dollars costs of the motion.

Charlotte Hassard vs. Herman Janter.—Complaint dismissed, with costs, and ten dollars costs of this motion.

Catherine Barrett vs. Thomas Fitzgerald.—Motion granted.

Abraham Hecht vs. Jacob Koehler.—Proceedings continued.

Francis A. Hassard vs. Herman Janter.—Complaint dismissed, with ten dollars costs of motion and ten dollars costs of this motion.

Catherine Remey vs. Richard Jones.—Action dismissed and undertaking vacated.

Henry Janter vs. Lucy Janter.—Motion granted.

Charles H. Heimbey vs. Joseph Remy.—Motion amending complaint granted, with fifty dollars costs of the motion.

Jacob Greenlee vs. Samuel W. Rosenstock.—Motion withdrawn by consent.

In the Matter of the Opening to be Discharged From Custody on Habeas Corpus.—Prisoner granted and cause referred.

Charles Starr vs. Joseph A. Ford.—Motion granted.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

NEW YORK CITY.

THE COURTS.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT.

Frement Against the Kansas Pacific Railroad—Removal of a Cause from the State Court.
John C. Fremont vs. The Kansas Pacific Railroad. This action was originally brought in the Supreme Court of this State. The plaintiff being the resident of one State and the defendants residing in another, and the amount in controversy exceeding the sum of \$500, the plaintiff petitioned to remove the trial from the State to the federal court. An order to show cause why the petition should not be granted was made by the State judge having jurisdiction of the case, and the petition being made, an order was granted transferring the cause to the United States Circuit Court. The papers in the case were accordingly filed yesterday in the office of the clerk of this court.

UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S COURT.

Discharged on His Own Recognizance.

The United States vs. Samuel Goodman.—Defendant was arrested about seven weeks ago on a charge of embezzling tobacco, and has been confined ever since in the Ludlow street jail. He was charged yesterday on his own recognizance to appear before the Grand Jury.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

Special Notice.

On and after Monday next, the 11th inst., through-out the month, the Chambers chamber, being unusually large, will be called on at eleven A. M. instead of twelve M., without reference to the hour for which motions may have been noticed. No cases will be set down for any day after the present week.

CHARLES E. LOEW, Clerk.

SUPREME COURT—GENERAL TERM.

What Constitutes a Legal General Term Decision?

Before Judges McCune, Monell and Fithian.
Parrott vs. The Knickerbocker Ice Company.—This was a motion for reargument made by plaintiff. Plaintiff obtained a judgment from which defendant appealed and argued it before a full bench. Two of the judges gave a judgment for reversal, and the third judge concurred that he was not consulted by his associates in the matter. The motion is now made on that certificate. It was argued that three judges in general term must all take part in the decision of a case, and that the only case decided by the Court of Appeals, while it maintains the decision of the majority of the court, does not on any technical ground declare that three judges to consult together before rendering a judgment, and that without record evidence the Court of Appeals will not suppose that the judges have failed in their duty. Decision reserved. Mr. De Costa for plaintiff; appellant, and Mr. Eldridge for defendant respondent.

A Squabble About Connected Fees.

James M. Smith vs. Jacob Dunchall.—This was an action in which Mr. James M. Smith brought suit against a butcher for his counsel fees in obtaining injunctions against the Board of Health. Mr. Smith recovered a judgment against Dunchall, and the appeal is now taken on the ground that the contract for employment was made by Dunchall's wife, and not by Dunchall himself, and that the contract was not binding on Dunchall. The court is now on the merits of the case, and the decision is reserved.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Sent on Preliminary Notice—Accommodation Paper.

Before Judge Friedman.

William B. Hollister vs. Louis Hallen.—This was an action founded on a promissory note made by defendant to the order of one Joseph Manning, Jr., for \$600. The defense was that the plaintiff had taken the same after maturity, that it was made for the same amount of money, and that a check for the same amount was given to the plaintiff by the said Manning. The court is now on the merits of the case, and the decision is reserved.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Decisions Rendered.

By Judge Jones.

Morris Mahler vs. David T. Perle.—Judgment for plaintiff on demurrer, with costs.

Ambrose Shon et al. vs. Henry S. Henry.—Judgment for plaintiff on demurrer, with costs.

James Smith et al. vs. Thomas Goodspeed.—Judgment for defendant, with costs.

Joseph B. Smith et al. vs. Charles W. Blacker et al.—Motion allowing plaintiff to amend summons and complaint granted on payment of ten dollars costs of the motion.

Charlotte Hassard vs. Herman Janter.—Complaint dismissed, with costs, and ten dollars costs of this motion.

Catherine Barrett vs. Thomas Fitzgerald.—Motion granted.

Abraham Hecht vs. Jacob Koehler.—Proceedings continued.

Francis A. Hassard vs. Herman Janter.—Complaint dismissed, with ten dollars costs of motion and ten dollars costs of this motion.

Catherine Remey vs. Richard Jones.—Action dismissed and undertaking vacated.

Henry Janter vs. Lucy Janter.—Motion granted.

Charles H. Heimbey vs. Joseph Remy.—Motion amending complaint granted, with fifty dollars costs of the motion.

Jacob Greenlee vs. Samuel W. Rosenstock.—Motion withdrawn by consent.

In the Matter of the Opening to be Discharged From Custody on Habeas Corpus.—Prisoner granted and cause referred.

Charles Starr vs. Joseph A. Ford.—Motion granted.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

John W. W. Jones vs. John A. B. B. B.—Motion granted and cause referred.

NEW YORK CITY.

THE COURTS.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT.

Frement Against the Kansas Pacific Railroad—Removal of a Cause from the State Court.
John C. Fremont vs. The Kansas Pacific Railroad. This action was originally brought in the Supreme Court of this State. The plaintiff being the resident of one State and the defendants residing in another, and the amount in controversy exceeding the sum of \$500, the plaintiff petitioned to remove the trial from the State to the federal court. An order to show cause why the petition should not be granted was made by the State judge having jurisdiction of the case, and the petition being made, an order was granted transferring the cause to the United States Circuit Court. The papers in the case were accordingly filed yesterday in the office of the clerk of this court.

UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S COURT.

Discharged on His Own Recognizance.

The United States vs. Samuel Goodman.—Defendant was arrested about seven weeks ago on a charge of embezzling tobacco, and has been confined ever since in the Ludlow street jail. He was charged yesterday on his own recognizance to appear before the Grand Jury.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

Special Notice.

On and after Monday next, the 11th inst., through-out the month, the Chambers chamber, being unusually large, will be called on at eleven A. M. instead of twelve M., without reference to the hour for which motions may have been noticed. No cases will be set down for any day after the present week.

CHARLES E. LOEW, Clerk.

SUPREME COURT—GENERAL TERM.

What Constitutes a Legal General Term Decision?

Before Judges McCune, Monell and Fithian.
Parrott vs. The Knickerbocker Ice Company.—This was a motion for reargument made by plaintiff. Plaintiff obtained a judgment from which defendant appealed and argued it before a full bench. Two of the judges gave a judgment for reversal, and the third judge concurred that he was not consulted by his associates in the matter. The motion is now made on that certificate. It was argued that three judges in general term must all take part in the decision of a case, and that the only case decided by the Court of Appeals, while it maintains the decision of the majority of the court, does not on any technical ground declare that three judges to consult together before rendering a judgment, and that without record evidence the Court of Appeals will not suppose that the judges have failed in their duty. Decision reserved. Mr. De Costa for plaintiff; appellant, and Mr. Eldridge for defendant respondent.

A Squabble About Connected Fees.

James M. Smith vs. Jacob Dunchall.—This was an action in which Mr. James M. Smith brought suit against a butcher for his counsel fees in obtaining injunctions against the Board of Health. Mr. Smith recovered a judgment against Dunchall, and the appeal is now taken on the ground that the contract for employment was made by Dunchall's wife, and not by Dunchall himself, and that the contract was not binding on Dunchall. The court is now on the merits of the case, and the decision is reserved.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Sent on Preliminary Notice—Accommodation Paper.

Before Judge Friedman.

William B. Hollister vs. Louis Hallen.—This was an action founded on a promissory note made by defendant to the order of one Joseph Manning, Jr., for \$600. The defense was that the plaintiff had taken the same after maturity, that it was made for the same amount of money, and that a check for the same amount was given to the plaintiff by the said Manning. The court is now on the merits of the case, and the decision is reserved.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Decisions Rendered.

By Judge Jones.

Morris Mahler vs. David T. Perle.—Judgment for plaintiff on demurrer, with costs.

Ambrose Shon et al. vs. Henry S. Henry.—Judgment for plaintiff on demurrer, with costs.

James Smith et al. vs. Thomas Goodspeed.—Judgment for defendant, with costs.

Joseph B. Smith et al. vs. Charles W. Blacker et al.—Motion allowing plaintiff to amend summons and complaint granted on payment of ten dollars costs of the motion.

Charlotte Hassard vs. Herman Janter.—Complaint dismissed, with costs, and ten dollars costs of this motion.

Catherine Barrett vs. Thomas Fitzgerald.—Motion granted.

Abraham Hecht vs. Jacob Koehler.—Proceedings continued.

Francis A. Hassard vs. Herman Janter.—Complaint dismissed, with ten dollars costs of motion and ten dollars costs of this motion.

Catherine Remey vs. Richard Jones.—Action dismissed and undertaking vacated.

Henry Janter vs. Lucy Janter.—Motion granted.

Charles H. Heimbey vs. Joseph Remy.—Motion amending complaint granted, with fifty dollars costs of the motion.

Jacob Greenlee vs. Samuel W. Rosenstock.—Motion withdrawn by consent.

In the Matter of the Opening to be Discharged From Custody on Habeas Corpus.—Prisoner granted and cause referred.